Shanghai, 9-11 May 2012

Multi-Agent Systems: Nexus of All Realities in Systems and Control

Jinhu Lü

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science Chinese Academy of Sciences

With Thanks To

- AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences
 Yao Chen
- University of Virginia, USA
 Prof. Zongli Lin
- RMIT University, Australia Prof. Xinghuo Yu
- Princeton University, USA
 Prof. Simon Levin, Prof. Iain Couzin

Outline



Modeling, Analysis, Control of MAS: An Overview

Consensus of MAS: Several Typical Cases

Conclusions

Introduction



What is Multi-Agent Systems (MAS)?

Main Characteristics of MAS

Examples: Bird Flock



Examples: Fish Flock



Examples: Rotating Ants Mill



Examples: Bacteria Group



Examples: Social Systems



Examples: Formation Control



The problem: Maintaining a formation in 2D or 3D





Examples: Group Robots





What is Multi-Agent Systems (MAS)?

♦ Agents

Insect, bird, fish, people, robot, ... node, individual, particle, ...



Main Characteristics of MAS

- Autonomous/Self-Driven Agents
- Distributed Region
- Local Interactions
- Dynamic Neighbors
- Various Connections
- Conformable Behaviors

Outline



Modeling, Analysis, Control of MAS: An Overview

Consensus of MAS: Several Typical Cases

Conclusions

Modeling, Analysis, and Control of MAS: An Overview

Modeling

—Vicsek, Boid, Couzin-Levin, Complex Dynamical Networks
 Analysis

--Consensus, Convergence, Adaptation, Decision-Making, ...

Control

-Leader-Follower, Pinning Control, Formation Control,

Cooperation, Intervention, ...

A Unifying Framework of MAS



Collective Behaviors: Modeling, Analysis and Control 16

Several Representative Models: Boids Flocking Model (1987)

Three Basic Local Rules: (The First Model)

Alignment: Steer to move toward the average heading of local flockmates

Separation: Steer to avoid crowding local flockmates

Cohesion: Steer to move toward the average position of local flockmates



C. W. Reynolds, Flocks, herd, and schools: A distributed behavioral model, Computer Graphics, 1987, 21(4): 15-24.₁₇

Several Representative Models: Vicsek Particles Model (1995)

One Basic Local Rule: Alignment (The Simplest Model)
Position:

$$x_i(t+1) = x_i(t) + v_i(t)\Delta t$$

≻Heading:

$$heta(t+1) = < heta(t)>_r + \Delta heta$$

T. Vicsek, A. Czirok, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, O. Sochet, Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-driven particles, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995, 75 (6): 1226.

Several Representative Models: Vicsek Particles Model (1995)

(a) Initial: Random positions/velocities

(ρ = 6.12)

(b) Low density/noise: grouped, random

(ρ = 0.48)

(c) High density/noise: correlated, random^(ρ)

(ρ = 6.12)

(d) High density / Low noise (ρ = 12)

ordered motion



T. Vicsek, A. Czirok, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, O. Sochet, Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-driven particles, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995, 75 (6): 1226. Several Representative Models: Couzin-Levin Model (2005)



I. D. Couzin, J. Krause, N. R. Franks, & S. M. Levin, Effective leadership and decision-making in animal groups on the Move, Nature, 2005, 433: 513-516.

Analysis of MAS: Main Approaches

Numerical Simulations

-Simulation Platform, Mathematical or Computer Model

Theoretical Analysis

——Lyapunov Function, Eigenvalue Computation, Convex Analysis, Stochastic Approximation, Graph Theory, ...

Experimental Observation

——Fish, Locust, Bird, Ants, ...

Numerical Simulations



Simulation Platform of Collective Behaviors

Simulation Hod	el					
Tatal Nam		(1.1.1 W.; 1.11		P. 16		Result
lotal Mum.	100	GIODAL Meighti	0.5	k opper	10000.0	r
Informed1 Num.	2	Global Weight2	0.5	R Step	10.0	
Informed2 Num.	50	Max Weight	0.4	TtlNum Upper	100	Accuracy
Body Length	5	Weight Inc. by	0.0	TtlNum Step	1	M Agampagy
Speed	1.0	Weight Dec. by	0.0	InfNum1 Upper	2	
Max Turning Speed	114.591559	Weight Adjust Angle	10.0	InfNum1 Step	1	rsplit
Zone of Peception	6.0	Global Vector	1.0 0.0	InfNum2 Upper	50	
Zone of Deflection	1.0	Replicate Num.	400	InfNum2.Step	1	vgroup
Angle of Perception	360.0	Time steps	1000	Beta Upper	0.6	Avg Flongstion
Beta	0.6	Time Inc.	0.1	Beta Step	0.04	
Bottom Left	30.0 30.0	Angle Error Std.	0.01	Wght1 Upper	0.5	Avg. Rcen_org
Top Right	40.0 40.0	Global Vector Error Std	0.0	Wght1 Step	0.04	
Circle Center	40.0	gv_error_mean	0.0	Wght2 Upper	0.5	
Circle Radius	10.0	Informed 2 Differ. Std.	0.0	Wght2 Step	0.04	Display Compute
Target Direction	1.0 0.0	Target Point 1	10000.0	Theta Upper	180	Rep Rup Evit
Theta	5.0	Target Point 2	0.0 0.0	Theta Step	5	LATC
R_feed1	0.0	R_feed2	0.0			
Target Type Target Num Target Point Distribution Considering Group Split						
C directio C point C 1 C 2 C line C round C yes C no						
Initial Area Output						
● square ⊂ circle 🔽 Histo 🔽 Elongation 🗆 Ak 🦳 Bk 🦳 C 🦳 Rcen_org 🔲 Group Theta						
Model Selection						
C Lain C Rule 1 C Rule 2 C Rule 3 C Rule 4						

J. Lü, J. Liu, I. D. Couzin, S. A. Levin, Emerging collective behaviors of animal groups, WCICA, 2008, pp. 1060-1065.

Theoretical Analysis

Simplification of Vicsek model by linearization:

$$\theta_i(t+1) = \frac{1}{|N_i(t)|} \sum_{j \in N_i(t)} \theta_j(t)$$

A. Jadbabie, J. Lin, and A.S. Morse, Coordination of groups of mobile autonomous agents using nearest neighbor rules, IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 2003, 48(6): 988-1001

Analysis of this model is based on Wolfowitz Theorem:

Given a set of finite number of SIA matrices, if any finite products generated from this set is SIA, then any infinite products generated from this set is convergent

J. Wolfowitz, Products of indecomposable, aperiodic, stochastic matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 1963, 15: 733-737.

Continuous-Time vs. Discrete-Time

Fixed Topology

- Continuous-Time:
 - Lyapunov Function, Eigenvalue Computation
- Discrete-Time:

Lyapunov Function, Eigenvalue Computation

- Switching topology
 - Continuous-Time:
 - **Lyapunov Function**
 - Discrete-Time: [Nonexistence of quadratic Lyapunov function]

Convex Analysis, Stochastic Approximation, Graph Theory

A. Olshevsky, J. N. Tsitsiklis, On the nonexistence of quadratic Lyapunov function for consensus algorithms, *IEEE* Trans. Automat. Contr., 2008, 53(11): 2642-2645.

Experimental Observation



Fish Migration / Motion

- Locust Breeding
- Bird Migration



Control of MAS: Main Approaches

- Leader-Follower Control
- Coordinated Control
 - Swarming, Consensus, Flocking, ...
- Data Traffic Control
 - Shortest-Path, Betweenness, ...
- Switch Control
 - Switch Rule, Switch Times, ...
- Pinning Control
 - Selective Scheme, Network Structure, Node Dynamics, ...

Intervention



Outline

Introduction

Modeling, Analysis, Control of MAS: An Overview

Consensus of MAS: Several Typical Cases

Conclusions

Consensus of MAS: Several Typical Cases

CASE I: Cluster Consensus of Discrete-Time MAS

CASE II: Consensus of Discrete-Time MAS with Nonlinear Transmission

 CASE III: Infinite Products of General Stochastic Matrices

Jointly Connected Graphs



The Period of A Graph

For any node of a strongly connected graph, the GCD (<u>Greatest Common Divisor</u>) of the lengths of all paths starting from this node and ending in the same node is called <u>the period of this graph</u>.

The following graph has period 3.



CASE I: Cluster Consensus of Discrete-Time MAS

Model Description

$$x_i(t+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(t) x_j(t), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N.$$
 (2)

• **Definition of Cluster Consensus: If there exist** *k* **different sets** $\{V_r\}_{r=0}^{k-1}$ **with** $V_i \neq V_j$ **for any** $i \neq j$ **and** $\bigcup_{r=0}^{k-1} V_r = V$, **such that** $\lim_{t\to\infty} |x_i(t) - x_j(t)| = 0, \quad \forall i, j \in V_r.$

Then (2) reaches cluster consensus.

Two Basic Questions

• How to determine the network clusters?

What conditions can MAS reach consensus?

• Cluster Factorization Algorithm: Given a strongly connected graph $G = \{V, E\}$ with period d. For a given node $i \in V$ and another node $j \in V$, let the length of a path from node i to node j be d_j . If $d_i \equiv r \pmod{d}$, then $j \in V_r$ where $0 \le r < d$.



A Typical Example of Cluster Factorization

Main Result

Theorem 1: If G(A) is fixed and has period d, $\inf_{a_{ij}(t)>0,t\geq0} a_{ij}(t)\geq\alpha$, then MAS (2) reaches d-cluster consensus.

Y. Chen, J. Lü, F. Han, X. Yu, On the cluster consensus of discrete-time multi-agent systems, Syst. Contr. Lett., 2011, 60(7): 517-523.

An Example



6 agents can be classified into the following three clusters: $V0 = \{1, 6\}, V1 = \{2, 4\}, V2 = \{3, 5\}$ and also reach cluster consensus .

CASE II: Consensus of Discrete-Time MAS with Nonlinear Transmission

Model Description:

$$x_i(t+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(t) f_{ij}(x_j(t-\tau_j^i(t))), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N.$$
 (2)

$f_{ij}(\bullet)$ Nonlinear Interaction $a_{ij}(t)$ Coupling Coefficients $\tau_j^i(t)$ Time Delays

One Basic Question

Definition of consensus:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|x_i(t) - x_j(t)\| = 0$$

for any $i, j \in V$.

Basic Question: What kind of nonlinear functions, time delays and topology structures can make MAS (2) reach consensus?

A Class of Nonlinear Functions

- *f* belongs to *F* if the following conditions are satisfied:
- **1.** f is continuous and $f \in \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$
- **2.** f is defined on some convex set $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and $f(x) \in B$ when $x \in B$.
- **3.** There exists a bounded convex set $U \subseteq B$ such that f(x) = x for $x \in U$ and $f(x) \neq x$ for d(f(x),U) < d(x,U).

Assumptions

A1. $f_{ij} \in F$ for any $i, j \in V$, and $\{f_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{n}$ share two common sets B and U. A2. $\{G(t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is jointly connected. A3. $0 \le \tau_{j}^{i}(t) < B$ for any $i \ne j$, $\tau_{i}^{i}(t) = 0$ for any $i \in V$. A4. $a_{ij}(t) \ge 0, a_{ii}(t) > 0, \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(t) = 1$ for any $i, j \in V$, $\inf_{a_{ij}(t) > 0, t \ge 0} a_{ij}(t) \ge \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$.



Theorem 2: If the above Assumptions 1-4 hold for MAS (2), then MAS (2) reaches consensus.

Y. Chen, J. Lü, Z. Lin, Consensus of discrete-time multiagent systems with nonlinear transmission, Automatica, 2012. (Provisionally Accepted)

An Example

Consider the following MAS

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = v_i(t), \\ \dot{v}_i(t) = u_i(t). \end{cases}$$

The controller is given by

$$u_i(t) = -\gamma_0 v_i(t_k) + \gamma_1 \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n a_{ij}(t_k) (f_{ij}(x_j(t_k)) - x_i(t_k))$$

where $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$.

The above MAS can be transformed into MAS (2) via a simple linear transformation and consensus criteria can be obtained subsequently.

CASE III: Infinite Products of General Stochastic Matrices with Its Application to Consensus of MAS

Background: Second order system is one of the basic mechanical systems. And the interconnected second order MAS with dynamical topology is a fundamental class of MAS.

Question: Second order MAS with <u>fixed topology</u> can be analysed by calculating <u>eigenvalues</u> or constructing <u>smooth Lyapunov</u> functions. How to analyse <u>second order MAS with dynamical topology</u>?

Some Known Results (1)

Common Quadratic Lyapunov Function

MAS does not exist a common quadratic Lyapunov function under some specific conditions.

A. Olshevsky, J. N. Tsitsiklis, On the nonexistence of quadratic Lyapunov function for consensus algorithms, IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 2008, 53(11), 2642-2645.

Some Known Results (2)

For MAS, if there exist two system matrices with different left perron eigenvector, there does not exist a convex, smooth, and closed common Lyapunov function.

R. K. Brayton and C. H. Tong, Stability of dynamical systems: Aconstructive approach, IEEE Trans. Circits Syst., 1979, 26(4): 224-234.

Mathematical Model

Model Description

$$\begin{cases} x_i(t_{k+1}) = x_i(t_k) + h_k x_i(t_k), \\ v_i(t_{k+1}) = v_i(t_k) + h_k u_i(t_k), \end{cases}$$
(3)

where

$$u_{i}(t_{k}) = \alpha \sum_{j \in N_{i}(t_{k})} a_{ij}(t_{k})(x_{j}(t_{k}) - x_{i}(t_{k})) + \beta \sum_{j \in N_{i}(t_{k})} a_{ij}(t_{k})(v_{j}(t_{k}) - v_{i}(t_{k})).$$

Here, $A_k = (a_{ij}(t_k))_{i,j=1}^N$ are stochastic matrices.

An Open Problem

Definition of consensus:

 $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||x_i(t_k) - x_j(t_k)|| = 0,$ $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||v_i(t_k) - v_j(t_k)|| = 0,$ for any $i, j \in V$.

Basic Question: What kind of <u>switching topology</u> and <u>sampling interval</u> can guarantee the consensus of MAS (3) ?

Assumptions 1 and 2

Consensus <u>without constraint on self-loops</u>

Assumption 1: For the matrices $A_k = (a_{ij}(t_k))_{i,j=1}^N$, there is $\inf_{a_{ij}(t)>0,t\geq 0} a_{ij}(t_k) \geq \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$, $a_{ij}(t_k)^2 + a_{ji}(t_k)^2 \neq 0$ for any $k \geq 1$

Assumption 2: The discretization step length h_k satisfy

$$h_k \in [\frac{1}{(2+\gamma)\beta - \mu^{-1}}, \frac{1-\delta}{\beta + \mu^{-1}}]$$

where $\mu = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$.

Main Result (1)

Theorem 3: If Assumptions 1 and 2 hold, then the MAS (3) reaches consensus.

Y. Chen, J. Lü, X. Yu, Z. Lin, Infinite products of a class of general stochastic matrices with their application to consensus of multi-agent systems, SIAM Journal on Control & Optimization, 2012. (Second Review, Minor Modification)

Assumptions 3 and 4

• Consensus with <u>constraint on the self-loops</u> Assumption 3: Each A_k is diagonal dominant and $\eta(A_k) < \min\{1-2\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}, 1-\delta - \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}(2-\delta)\}$ for some $\delta \in (0,1)$, where

$$\eta(A) = \frac{1}{2} \max_{i,j} (a_{ii} + a_{jj} - a_{ij} - a_{ji} + \sum_{k \neq i,j} |a_{ik} - a_{jk}|)$$

Assumption 4: The discretization step length h_k satisfying

$$h_k \in \left[\frac{\delta}{(1-\eta(A_k))\beta - 2\mu^{-1}}, \frac{2-\delta}{\beta(1+\eta(A_k))}\right]$$

where $\mu = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$.

Main Result (2)

Theorem: If Assumptions 3 and 4 hold, then the MAS (3) reaches consensus.

Y. Chen, J. Lü, X. Yu, Z. Lin, Infinite products of a class of general stochastic matrices with their application to consensus of multi-agent systems, SIAM Journal on Control & Optimization, 2012. (Second Review, Minor Modification)

Main Ideas of Proof

It is often difficult to construct a traditional smooth Lyapunov function to analyse the stability of MAS with switching topology

We construct a polytope in 2N dimensional space and demonstrate that the network dynamics contracts along the polytope

Outline

Introduction

Modeling, Analysis, Control of MAS: An Overview

Consensus of MAS: Several Typical Cases

Conclusions

Conclusions

- Some new results on consensus of discretetime MAS are introduced
- Some new methods for coping with dynamical topology and nonlinear interactions are proposed
- These methods can be further generalized to consensus of other MAS

Some Future Works

- Further investigation of non-convex MAS models, trying to find a more universal method to tackle this kind of problems
- How to cope with <u>dynamical topology</u> effectively?
- How to cope with complex <u>nonlinear interactions</u> efficiently?
- How to cope with <u>environment uncertainty</u>?

Thank You Very Much !

URL: <u>http://lsc.amss.ac.cn/~ljh</u>

Email: jhlu@iss.ac.cn