Searching for Networks with

Best Possible Synchronizability



Dinghua Shi, Guanrong (Ron) Chen Wilson W. K. Thong, Xiaoyong Yan City University of Hong Kong



- **Network Model**
- **Synchronization**
- Homogeneity + Symmetry → Optimality
- **Conclusion**



Network Model

Linearly coupled network:

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = f(x_i) + c \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} H(x_j) \qquad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N$$

a general assumption is that f(.) is Lipschitz

coupling strength
$$c > 0$$
 and
coupling matrices (undirected):
$$A = [a_{ij}]_{N \times N} \qquad H(x_j) = \begin{bmatrix} H_1(x_j) \\ H_2(x_j) \\ \vdots \\ H_n(x_j) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{e.g.} \qquad H = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & & 0 \\ & r_{22} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

A: If node *i* connects to node *j* ($j \neq i$), then $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 1$; else, $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0$; also, $a_{ii} = 0$

Laplacian matrix: L = D - A $D = diag\{d_1, ..., d_n\}$ d_i - degree of node *i*

Network Synchronization

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = f(x_i) + c \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} H(x_j) \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., N$$

complete state synchronization:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| x_i(t) - x_j(t) \|_2 = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., N$$

Example: Synchronized to zero equilibrium



Preliminary

Variational Equation:

$$d\xi_k/dt = \left([Df(x)] - c\lambda_k I \right) \xi_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

where [Df(x)] is Jacobian (e.g., uniformly bounded) The maximum Lyapunov exponent of the equation is called the *master stability function*.

Laplacian has zero row-sum
Spectrum: $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N$

What affects the synchronizability

- (i) inner-linking matrix H (fixed)
 (ii) autor linking matrix A (matrix)
- (ii) outer-linking matrix A (varying)

Synchronizability in terms of (ii):

1. unbounded region (X.F. Wang and G.R. Chen, 2002)

Spectral gap λ_2 , $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \le \dots \le \lambda_N$ 2. bounded region (M. Banahona and L.M. Pecora, 2002)

Eigenratio λ_2 / λ_N , $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \le \cdots \le \lambda_N$

3. union of several disconnected regions

(A. Stefanski, P. Perlikowski, and T. Kapitaniak, 2007)(Z.S. Duan, C. Liu, G.R. Chen, and L. Huang, 2007-2009)

What is the idea

Error equation: $\dot{e} = Ae$

$$\blacksquare \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigenvalues of *L*:
$$\lambda_1 = 0$$
 $\lambda_2 = 2 > 0$

One state component:

$$e_2(t) = e^{-\lambda_2 t} \to 0 \quad (t \to \infty)$$

Bigger $\lambda_2 \rightarrow$ Faster convergence

 $e_1(t) - e_2(t) \equiv 0, \quad e_2(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad e_1(t) \rightarrow 0$

Question (for example)

Given Laplacian:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: How to replace 0 and -1 while keeping the connectivity (and all row-sums = 0), such that λ_2/λ_N = maximum ?



$$L^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \lambda_{2}/\lambda_{N} = \text{maximum}$$

Observation: Homogeneous + Symmetrical

Problem

- With the same numbers of node and edges, while keeping the connectivity, what kind of network has the best possible synchronizability?
- > Observation: Large eigenratio \rightarrow Large spectral gap

$$\max_{A \in A^*} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_N} = \max_{A \in A^*} \left\{ \min_{x^T e=0, x \neq 0} \frac{x^T [D-A]x}{x^T x} / \max_{x^T e=0, x \neq 0} \frac{x^T [D-A]x}{x^T x} \right\}$$

Such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i = N\overline{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

Computationally, this is NP-hard

Progress

- Vishikawa et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 014101(2003) regular networks with uniform small (node or edge) betweenness [we found: edge betweenness is more important than node betweenness]
- Donetti et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 188701(2005) Entangled networks have biggest eigenratios [we found: not necessarily]
- ☞ Donetti et al., J. Stat. Mech.: Theory and Experiment 8, 1742(2006), algorithm based on algebraic graph theory; big spectral gap → big eigenratio [we found: the opposite]
- Zhou et al., *Eur. Phys. J. B.* 60, 89(2007), algorithm based on smallest clustering coefficient
- Hui, Ann Oper. Res., July (2009), algorithm based on entropy
- Xuan et al., Physica A 388, 1257(2009), algorithm based on short average path length
- Mishkovski et al., ISCAS, 681(2010), fast generating algorithm

Comparison of Synchroniability

Guan et al., Chaos 18, 013120(2008), *N* = 100

| | Ensemble A | Ensemble B | | Ensemble C | |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $r = \lambda_N / \lambda_2$ | $k_d = 6$ | $\bar{k}_d = 6$ | $k_d = 6, k_r = 10$ | $k_d = 6, k_r = 20$ | $k_d = 6, k_r = 30$ |
| \overline{r} | 5.881 | 19.431 | 77.964 | 46.603 | 33.631 |
| $\bar{\lambda}_N$ | 10.315 | 13.786 | 9.661 | 10.082 | 10.401 |
| $\bar{\lambda}_2$ | 1.759 | 0.745 | 0.130 | 0.224 | 0.318 |
| \overline{d} | 2.748 | 2.746 | 4.747 | 4.012 | 3.674 |

- A: Regular networks (with degree-preserved link switching)
- **B:** Random Networks
- C: Small-world Networks

Recent Discoveries

- Biological neural networks, Phys Reports 2011 – NN of 16 cells
- k-cell, Nature Phys 2010 more important than hubs and high-betweenness nodes in epidemics
- Homogenous networks, Nature 2011 - more advantageous for control





Our New Model

- Homogeneity + Symmetry
- Same node degree $d_1 = \cdots = d_N$
- Shortest average path length
- Shortest path-sum $l_i = \sum_{j \neq i} l_{ij}$ $l_1 = \cdots = l_N$
- Longest girth [girth = shortest loop of a node]

$$g_1 = \cdots = g_N$$

Optimization

Illustration:



White: Optimal homogeneous

Grey: networks with same numbers of nodes and edges

- Green: degree-homogeneous networks
- Blue: networks with maximum girths
- Pink: possible optimal networks
- Red: near homogenous networks

Our Algorithm (Step A, B, C)

Consider the case of average node degree *k* = integer

- Step A Building Node-degree Homogenous Networks:
- \sim A1. Initially: given a fully-connected graph of k + 1 nodes.

A2. In each step, if k = odd, then add 2 nodes with (k-1)/2 edges connecting to the existing network; if k = even, then add 1 node with k/2 edges connecting to the existing networks.

A3. Attachment: For non-identical node degrees, use antipreferential attachment; for identical node degree, use random.

A4. For every node with degree bigger than the average node degree, randomly select one old edge to do rewiring, so as to obtain a homogenous node degree sequence.

Step B - Generating Networks with Longest Girth:

B1. Ordering. List all random node-degree homogeneous networks generated in steps A1-A4, according to their girths in decreasing order (if equal, list their average path-lengths in increasing order; if path-lengths are also equal, list their automorphisms in decreasing order).

B2. Reducing. If two networks have same girth sequences, path-sum sequences and automorphism numbers, then only one is kept for further iterations.

B3. Iterating. Starting from the first network in the above list, return to steps A2-A4, until reaching the end of the list or meeting a pre-set stopping rule, to obtain all networks with the longest girth.

Step C - Finding Optimal Homogeneous Networks:

C1. For each network with the longest girth obtained above, calculate its every node's path-sum, network diameter, and average path-length.

C2. Check the homogeneities of girth and path-sum for every network obtained above. Keep those homogeneous networks with minimum $\ell *$ and those non-homogeneous with $\ell < \ell */(N-1)$ as candidates.

C3. Calculate the eigen-ratios of all the candidates in C2 and find the biggest one, which is an optimal homogeneous network.

Optimal Networks



Optimal Networks



Resulting Networks (first 14 solutions)

| Number of nodes | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
|-------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (girth, path-sum) | (3, 3) | (4, 7) | (4, 11) | (5, 15) | (5, 21) | (6, 27) | (6, 34) |
| Spectral Gap | 4.000 | 3.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 1.468 | 1.586 | 1.268 |
| Eigenratio | 1.000 | 0.500 | 0.369 | 0.400 | 0.277 | 0.264 | 0.211 |
| Network Diameter | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |

| Number of nodes | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 30 |
|-------------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (girth, path-sum) | (6, 41) | (6, x) | (x, x) | (7, 59) | (7, 67) | (7, 75) | (8, 83) |
| Spectral Gap | 1.268 | 1.065 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Eigenratio | 0.211 | 0.189 | 0.180 | 0.180 | 0.174 | 0.185 | 0.167 |
| Network Diameter | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

Except:

Network with 20 nodes: same girth, but not path-sum

Network with 22 nodes – both girth and path-sum are not

Conclusion

Optimal network topology (in the sense of having the best possible synchronizability) should have

- o Homogeneity (with same node degree)
- **o** Symmetrical structure
- o Shortest path-sum
- o Longest girth



Thank You !

